

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/757,079	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BIRRELL, MICHAEL IAN	
	<b>Examiner</b> N Edwards	<b>Art Unit</b> 1774	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Primary Examiner Edwards.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Michael Gratz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*New Matter*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**N. EDWARDS**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Primary Examiner where is support for claim 1 Line 6 "the substrate to form a single consolidation". Mr Grate pointed to page 2 line s 16-18 and page 5, lines 1-5. Claim 1 appears to have New matter. See claims 15, 12, 17 for same problem. Applicant will be getting a restriction requirement when prosecution is reopened. Also see 11/572,297 for future ODP..